Appellate Case: 16-4076 Document: 01019616633 Date Filed: 05/09/2016 Page: 1

FILED
United States Court of Appeals
Tenth Circuit

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

May 9, 2016

Elisabeth A. Shumaker Clerk of Court

In re: MICHAEL WAYNE ELLIS,

Movant.

No. 16-4076 (D.C. Nos. 2:01-CR00411-DAK-1 & 2:05-CR-00767-DAK) (D. Utah)

ORDER

Before **KELLY**, **BRISCOE**, and **HARTZ**, Circuit Judges.

Movant Michael Wayne Ellis, a federal prisoner proceeding through counsel, seeks an order authorizing him to file a second or successive 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion in the district court so he may assert a claim for relief based on *Johnson v. United States*, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015). See 28 U.S.C. §§ 2255(h), 2244(b)(3). Because Movant has made a prima facie showing that he satisfies the relevant conditions for authorization under § 2255(h)(2), we grant authorization.

Movant received a sentence enhanced under the guideline for career offenders, which is triggered by the defendant having "two prior qualifying felony convictions of either a crime of violence or a controlled substance offense," U.S.S.G. § 4B1.1(a). At least one of his prior convictions qualified for this purpose by virtue of the residual clause in the guideline's definition of a crime of violence, which encompasses crimes that "involve[] conduct that presents a serious potential risk of physical injury to another," *id.*

¹ The Federal Public Defender for the District of Utah is appointed to represent Mr. Ellis pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B).

Appellate Case: 16-4076 Document: 01019616633 Date Filed: 05/09/2016 Page: 2

§ 4B1.2(a)(2). An identical clause in the Armed Career Criminal Act was invalidated in *Johnson* on the ground that it was unconstitutionally vague.

To obtain authorization, Movant must make a prima facie showing that his claim meets the gatekeeping requirements of § 2255(h). 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(C); see Case v. Hatch, 731 F.3d 1015, 1028–29 (10th Cir. 2013). A claim may be authorized under § 2255(h)(2) if it relies on "a new rule of constitutional law, made retroactive to cases on collateral review by the Supreme Court, that was previously unavailable." Johnson announced a new rule of constitutional law that was made retroactive to cases on collateral review in Welch v. United States, ____ S. Ct. ____, 2016 WL 1551144, at *8 (Apr. 18, 2016). We held in In re Encinias, No. 16–8038, 2016 WL 1719323, at *2 (10th Cir. Apr. 29, 2016) (per curiam), that second or successive § 2255 motions that rely on Johnson to challenge the career offender guideline qualify for authorization under § 2255(h)(2).

Accordingly, we grant Michael Wayne Ellis authorization to file a second or successive § 2255 motion in district court to raise a claim based on *Johnson v. United States*.

Entered for the Court

ELISABETH A. SHUMAKER, Clerk

Elisabeth a. Shumake